

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/586,535 01/1

01/16/96

CHENG

J

ERS0-84-051

E5M1/0430

GEORGE O SAILE 20 MCINTOSH DRIVE POUGHKEEPSIE NY 12603 DUONG, T
ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER
2515

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED:

04/30/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution</b> accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed in
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	
Disposition of Claims	
Sclaim(s) 1-5 and 13-22	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Aclaim(s) 1-5 and 13-22	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are subje	ect to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	•
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is   approved   disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have	been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	· ·
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	·
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE	S

PTOL-326 (Rev. 10/95)

Serial Number: 586,535 -2-

a - -

Art Unit: 2515

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by EP No. 0509827.

Note in Figs. 1 and 2(a)-(e) the black matrix (10), the layer of transparent common (6) and the overcoat layer (5).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over EP No. 0509827 in view JP No. 4-268533.

The JP `533 discloses in Figs. 2 and 4 that it is known to form the black matrix over the transparent electrode or the

Serial Number: 586,535

Art Unit: 2515

transparent electrode over the black matrix. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ a black matrix formed under the transparent electrode in the device of EP `827 because both structures provide the same function or result, i.e. to reduce the resistance of the transparent electrode.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103 as being Yamazaki et al 442 unpatentable over EP 0509827 in view of Taki for the same reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Claims 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over EP 0509827 in view of Yamazaki et al. `442 and Toni et al. for the same reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7 and 13 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (703) 308-4873.

て()
Duong/tj

April 19, 1997

William L. Seps

WILLIAM L. SIKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2500